Doc#1

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEWRASK.

**△**AO 241 (Rev. 10/07)

2022 BEC 23 PM | 1 1 1 Page 2

# PETITION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254 FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

HABEAS CORPUS BY	A PERSON	INSTA	ATE CUSTODY
United States District Court	Dis	trict: N	EBRASKA
Name (under which you were convicted):			Docket or Case No.:
JOHN W. DALTON JR.			4:20cv 3269
Place of Confinement:			Prisoner No.:
TECUMSEH STATE CORRECTION INSTITUTION	N		88763
Petitioner (include the name under which you were convicted)	Res	pondent (	(authorized person having custody of petitioner)
	٧.		4
JOHN W. DALTON JR.	CRA	AIG GA	BLE T.S.C.I. WARDEN
The Attorney General of the State of NEBRASKA	DOUG	AS J.	PETERSON
p	ETITION		
	BIIIION		
		20	
1. (a) Name and location of court that entered the j DISTRICT COURT OF DOUGLAS COUNTY, I 100 HALL OF JUSTICE 1701 FARNAM STREET OMAHA, NEB	NEBRASKA	A	
(b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know CR-18-39	y):		
2. (a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you kn	52.0		
(b) Date of sentencing: DECEMBER 10,2018			
B. Length of sentence:  LIFE IN PRISON			
I. In this case, were you convicted on more than or	e count or	of more t	han one crime? 🖾 Yes 🗇 No
3 COUNTS OF FIRST DEGREE MURDE 3 COUNTS OF USE OF A WEAPON 1 COUNT OF FELON IN POSSESSION	R		RECEIVE
I COOMI OF FELON IN 1005E5510N	OF A W.	EAL OIV	DEC 2 3 2022
			01 -
(a) What was your plea? (Check one)			U.S. DISTRICT COULLINCOLN
(1) Not guilty		(3)	Nolo contendere (no contest)
図 (2) Guilty		(4)	Insanity nlea

Page 3

►AO 241 Rev. 10/0	7)
	(b) If you entered a guilty plea to one count or charge and a not guilty plea to another count or charge, what did
	you plead guilty to and what did you plead not guilty to?
	(c) If you went to trial, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one)
	☐ Jury ☐ Judge only
7.	Did you testify at a pretrial hearing, trial, or a post-trial hearing?
	☐ Yes ⊠ No
8.	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction?
	Ø Yes □ No
9.	If you did appeal, answer the following:
	(a) Name of court: DOUGLAS COUNTY DISTRICT COURT of NEBRASKA
	(b) Docket or case number (if you know):  CR-18-394
	(c) Result: DENIED
	(d) Date of result (if you know): NOVEMBER 18, 2019
	(e) Citation to the case (if you know):
	(f) Grounds raised:
	1. INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL 2. INCOMPETENT DEFENDANT
	(g) Did you seek further review by a higher state court?   Yes  No
	If yes, answer the following:
	-
	(1) Name of court: NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT
	(2) Docket or case number (if you know): S-19-1192 (3) Result: DENIED
	(4) Date of result (if you know): OCTOBER 20, 2020

Page 4 **№**AO 241 (Rev. 10/07) (5) Citation to the case (if you know): (6) Grounds raised: 1. INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL 2. INCOMPETENT DEFENDANT (h) Did you file a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court? ☐ Yes No No If yes, answer the following: (1) Docket or case number (if you know): (2) Result: (3) Date of result (if you know): (4) Citation to the case (if you know): 10. Other than the direct appeals listed above, have you previously filed any other petitions, applications, or motions concerning this judgment of conviction in any state court? Yes Yes ☐ No 11. If your answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following information: (1) Name of court: DOUGLAS COUNTY DISTRICT COURT of NEBRASKA (a) (2) Docket or case number (if you know): CR-18-394 (3) Date of filing (if you know): FEBRUARY 7, 2022 (4) Nature of the proceeding: (5) Grounds raised: 1. INCOMPETENCY was not addressed in first postconviction relief. 2. INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL on JERRY M. HUG for not addressing incompetency of a defendant & not talking to defendant at all on this case during covid. 3. Conflict of interest on Att. JERRY M. HUG for being so buddy buddy with county Att. Katie Benson and not arguing my case effectively in court like a normal attorney. (6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your petition, application, or motion? ☐ Yes No No (7) Result: DENIED

(8) Date of result (if you know): MARCH 22, 2022

Page 5

- (b) If you filed any second petition, application, or motion, give the same information:
  - (1) Name of court: NEBRASKA COURT OF APPEALS
  - (2) Docket or case number (if you know): S-22-0257
  - (3) Date of filing (if you know): APRIL 4, 2022
  - (4) Nature of the proceeding:
  - NO COMPETENCY HEARING & NOT TIME BARRED FILED ON TIME.
- 1. Incompetency hearing not addressed.
- 2. Can't be time barred when Judge Leigh Ann Retelsdorf made her last rulling on my first postconviction relief on February 10,2021 and I filed my second postconviction relief on February 7,2022 on time with in the 1 year time limit.
- 3. The prison was locked down for a year because of covid and lack of staff no law libruary access at all in the 2021 year. Inmates sick & staff sick and a max prison were we are stuck on our unit bay or dayrooms only.
  - (6) Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your petition, application, or motion?
  - ☐ Yes ☑ No
  - (7) Result: DENIED
  - (8) Date of result (if you know): JUNE 29, 2022
  - (c) If you filed any third petition, application, or motion, give the same information:
    - (1) Name of court: NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT
    - (2) Docket or case number (if you know): S-22-0257
    - (3) Date of filing (if you know): JULY 25, 2022
    - (4) Nature of the proceeding: PETITION FOR FURTHER REVIEW
    - (5) Grounds raised:
  - 1.Ineffective assistance of counsel on Att. Jerry M.Hug for not addressing ineffective assistance of counsel on Att. Cindy Tate my trial counsel and not addressing a incompetency hearing being held.
    2.Can't be time barred when Judge Retelsdorf made her last ruling on my first postconviction on February 10,2021 and I filed my second postconviction relief on February 7,2022 on time with in the 1 year time limit.
  - 3. Covid shut down the prison 2021 there was no law libruary and no movement in the prison the hole 2021 year.

	<b>⊗</b> AO 241 (Rev. 10/0)	7)							Page 6	
			(6) E	oid you	receive	e a hear	ing whe	re evi	dence was given on your petition, application, or motion?	
				Yes	Ø	No				
			(7) R	esult:	DENI	ED				
			(8) D	ate of	result (i	if you k	now): J	ULY	27,2022	
		(d) Did y	you aj	ppeal to	the hi	ghest st	ate cour	t havii	ng jurisdiction over the action taken on your petition, application,	
		or motio	n?							
2			(1) F	irst pe	tition:	X	Yes	Ο,	No	
			(2) S	econd	petition	n: 🔯	Yes		No	
			(3) 1	hird p	etition:		Yes		No	
		(e) If you	ı did ı	not app	eal to t	he high	est state	court	having jurisdiction, explain why you did not:	
	12.		treatie	es of th	e Unite				claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, tional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts	
		remedies	on ea	ach gro	und on	which	you requ	iest ac	nust ordinarily first exhaust (use up) your available state-court stion by the federal court. Also, if you fail to set forth all the presenting additional grounds at a later date.	
Coun fourte	sel(t enth	rail Amend	cou lmer	inse nt o	l pu f th	blic e Un	def ited	end St	his right to Effective Assistance of er Cindy Tate) as protected by the sixth ates Constitution.	8
claim(1)	Couns	sel ne	ever	fi	led	a di	rect	ap	e specific facts that support your claim.): peal for petitioner after sentencing nor	
	couns	sel gi	.ve	tai	r ad	vise			r about evidence to case and about havir	
	DOTIC	e rep	ort	is to	o ca	se.				18
claim(4)	swadi Couns to na	ng tn el vi	ola of	the the	tion pet	er t itio	o pl ners	ea o	with amendment right to fair counsel by out to the same charges with no real pleaght to not be tried while incompetent, do ever ordered a evaluation of petitioners	,
(	(b) If you	ı did not e	xhaus	st your	state re	emedies	on Gro	und O	ne, explain why:	

DR

### IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEBRASKA

THE STATE OF NEBRASKA,					CR18-394	
•	Plaintiff,	)				
vs.		)			•	₩.
JOHN DALTON,		)	ORDER I	ENY	TNG POSTCOI RELIEF	WICTION #6 FILED DOUGLAS COUNTY NEBRASKA
	Defendant.	)				NOV 1 9 2019
		)				CLERK DISTRICT COURS

This matter comes before the Court for an evidentiary hearing on Defendant's Verified Motion for Postconviction Relief filed April 8, 2019. The State appeared through Deputy County Attorney, Katie Benson, and Defendant appeared through his counsel, Jerry Hug. The Court received the depositions of the Defendant and of trial counsel, Cindy Tate, as Exhibits 1 and 2. The Court also Received a letter mailed December 10, 2018 to Defendant from Mrs. Tate as Exhibit 3. The matter was then taken under advisement after receiving proposed orders from the parties.

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW

A criminal defendant seeking postconviction relief has the burden of establishing a basis for such relief, and it is available only when a constitutional right has been infringed or violated. State v. Deckard, 272 Neb. 410, 722 N.W.2d 55 (2006).

#### **LEGAL ANALYSIS**

The sole issue before this Court is whether or not trial counsel was ineffective for failing to file a direct appeal of Defendant's conviction and sentence. It is undisputed that a direct appeal was never filed on behalf of Defendant after his plea and sentence. In Nebraska, the law provides the following on this issue:



Where a defendant has not specifically given instructions concerning an appeal, the first question to be asked is whether counsel consulted with the defendant about an appeal. If counsel has consulted, that is, advised the defendant about the advantages and disadvantages of taking an appeal, "[c]ounsel performs in a professionally unreasonable manner only by failing to follow the defendant's express instructions with respect to an appeal."

Although the Court agreed that the better practice is for counsel to routinely consult with the defendant regarding an appeal, it rejected a bright-line rule requiring counsel to always consult with the defendant concerning an appeal. *Id.* Instead, the Court held that:

counsel has a constitutionally imposed duty to consult with the defendant about an appeal when there is reason to think either (1) that a rational defendant would want to appeal (for example, because there are nonfrivolous grounds for appeal), or (2) that this particular defendant reasonably demonstrated to counsel that he was interested in appealing.

The Court concluded that to show prejudice related to the failure to file an appeal, "a defendant must demonstrate that there is a reasonable probability that, but for counsel's deficient failure to consult with him about an appeal, he would have timely appealed." Roe v. Flores-Ortega, 528 U.S. 470, 484, 120 S.Ct. 1029, 145 L.Ed.2d 985 (2000). Whether a defendant meets his burden depends on the facts of a particular case. "[E]vidence that there were nonfrivolous grounds for appeal or that the defendant in question promptly expressed a desire to appeal will often be highly relevant in making this determination." 528 U.S. at 485, 120 S.Ct. 1029.

To prove deficient performance, a defendant can rely on evidence that he sufficiently demonstrated to counsel his interest in an appeal. But such evidence alone is insufficient to establish that, had the defendant received reasonable advice from counsel about the appeal, he would have instructed his counsel to file an appeal.

State v. Wagner, 271 Neb. 253, 710 N.W.2d 627 (2006) (some citations omitted). See also Deckard, supra at 416.

There is evidence from Defendant and trial counsel regarding whether Defendant requested a direct appeal. Trial counsel testified that she explained the right to appeal during a

meeting with Defendant prior to his entry of plea. (Tate Dep. 10:13-11:11). Trial counsel testified that Defendant never indicated he wanted to file a direct appeal after sentencing, but trial counsel still sent a standard letter advising Defendant of his right to file a direct appeal (Ex. 3; Tate Dep. 12:3-12:17). Trial counsel did receive a voicemail from Defendant December 12th, but it had nothing to do with the filing of a direct appeal and trial counsel received no further communication from Defendant. (Tate Dep. 13:6-14:15). Had she been requested, trial counsel would have filed the direct appeal regardless of whether counsel felt the appeal would have had any issues with merit. (Tate Dep. 15:10-13).

In reviewing the depositions, the Court finds trial counsel's testimony to be more credible. The evidence supports a finding that trial counsel adequately consulted with Defendant about an appeal in light of a plea and Defendant did not expressly instruct trial counsel to file one within the statutorily required timeframe. Thus, counsel acted in a professionally reasonable manner and Defendant is not entitled to postconviction relief in the form of a direct appeal. See Wagner, 271 Neb. at 261 (finding testimony established counsel was not deficient in that defendant never expressly requested counsel to file an appeal); Deckard, supra (affirming denial of postconviction relief on finding that trial counsel's testimony that defendant never requested a direct appeal was simply more credible).1

The Court also finds that any remaining issues in the postconviction, which the Court did not grant an evidentiary hearing on are denied because the motion fails to state sufficient facts regarding counsel being deficient or showing prejudice, which would require facts showing

<sup>1</sup> Even if the Court found that trial counsel failed to consult with Defendant about an appeal and had to make further inquiry per *Wagner*, the Court finds Defendant failed to meet his burden in establishing that a rational defendant would want to appeal, that he reasonably demonstrated he was interested in appealing within the required timeframe or that he was prejudiced.

Defendant would have insisted on going to trial and not accept the plea offer. See e.g. State v. Armendariz, 289 Neb. 896, 902-03, 857 N.W.2d 775, 783 (2015).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant's request for postconviction relief is denied.

DATED this & day

, 2019.

BY THE COURT:

Leigh Ann Retelsdorf District Court Judge

◆AO 241 (Rev. 10/0					Page 7
(c)	Direct Appeal of Ground One:				
	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?	Ŏ	Yes		No
amend my ouddy bud	(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: I did no cause of counsel Att. Jerry M. Hug was ineffect appeal and add to it like I wanted him to. At ddy with Judge Retelsdorf and Douglas County A	iv t.	e and Jerr	l wo	uld not . Hug was
(d) Pos	t-Conviction Proceedings:		2		
	(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas co	orpu	s in a sta	ite tria	I court?
	☑ Yes □ No				·
	(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:  Type of motion or petition:  "Verified Motion to Vacate and	S	et as	ide	Convictions"
Doug 100	Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:  glas County District Court of Nebraska ,1701 F Hall OF JUSTICE OMAHA, NEBRASKA 6818  Docket or case number (if you know):  CR-18-394		NAM S	TRE	ET
	Date of the court's decision:  NOVEMBER 18,2019  Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): DENIED				
	(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?	Ø	Yes	O	No
	(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?	Ø	Yes	O	No
	(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?	Ķ	Yes		No
	(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:				
24	Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:  NEBRASKA COURT OF APPEALS & NEBRASKA SUPREM 413 State Capital, P.O.Box 98910 Lincoln, Nebraska 6850  Docket or case number (if you know):  S-19-1192  Date of the court's decision: OCTOBER 20, 2020  Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): DENIED	ME )9-8	COUR 3910	T	
¥I					

(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this issue:

Page 8

(e) Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that you have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground One:

GROUND TWO:Petitioner was denied his right to Effective Assistance of Counsel on (postconviction appointed Att. Jerry M.Hug)as protected by the sixth & fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):

claim(1)counsel never amended my motion with other arguements that i wanted him to.

claim(2)counsel was a conflict of intrest for riding in same car with Douglas county Att. Katie
Benson to my deposition and not argueing my case or objecting to anything she said
during my deposition, Att. Jerry was buddy buddy with county Att. Katie Benson.

- claim (3) counsel was a conflict of intrest, he was buddy buddy with Judge Retelsdorf & Douglas county Att. Katie benson in the courtroom he never really argued my case in court.
- claim (4) counsel kept petitioner in the dark on court proceedings & counsel could not be contacted by phone or E-mail, he was a conflict of intrest.
- claim (5) counsel never made an effert to come and see me at Tecumseh Prison and talk to me and here what i want him to do or get my thoughts on what he is doing on my behalf.

  (b) If you did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two, explain why: Exhausted all state remedies.
- claim (6) Counsel violated petitioners right to not be tried while incompetent, do to nature of the case, counsel never ordered a evaluation of petitioners mental state.

(c	) Direct	Appeal	of	Ground	Two:	

- (1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
- (2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:

#### (d) Post-Conviction Proceedings:

(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a state trial court?

Yes No

(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:

Type of motion or petition: Postconviction Relief Motion Filed on February 7,2022

Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:

Douglas County District Court of Nebraska 100 Hall of Justice Omaha, Nebraska 68183

Nebraska ,1701 Farnam Street

Docket or case number (if you know): CR-18-394

Date of the court's decision:

March 22,2022 Denied



# CLERK OF THE NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT AND NEBRASKA COURT OF APPEALS

2413 State Capitol, P.O. Box 98910 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-8910 (402) 471-3731 FAX (402) 471-3480

July 27, 2022

John W Dalton Jr TSCI c/o LRC Bldg 1 2725 N Hwy 50, PO Box 900 Tecumseh, NE 68450-2800

IN CASE OF: S-22-000257, State v. John W Dalton Jr TRIAL COURT/ID: Douglas County District Court CR18-394

The following filing: Post-Mandate Filing (PFR)
Filed on 07/25/22
Filed by appellant John W Dalton Jr

Has been reviewed by the court and the following order entered:

Petition of Appellant for further review denied.

Respectfully,

Clerk of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals



# CLERK OF THE NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT AND NEBRASKA COURT OF APPEALS

2413 State Capitol, P.O. Box 98910 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-8910 (402) 471-3731 FAX (402) 471-3480

June 29, 2022

John W Dalton Jr #88763 TSCI c/o LRC Bldg 1 2725 N Hwy 50, PO Box 900 Tecumseh, NE 68450-2800

IN CASE OF: S-22-000257, State v. John W Dalton Jr TRIAL COURT/ID: Douglas County District Court CR18-394

The following filing: Motion Appellee for Summary Affirmance Filed on 06/10/22 Filed by appellee State of Nebraska

Has been reviewed by the court and the following order entered:

Motion of Appellee for summary affirmance sustained; judgment affirmed. See Neb. Ct. R. App. P. § 2-107(B)(2).

Respectfully,

Clerk of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals

OAC.	241
(Rev.	10/07)

Page 9

Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):

(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?		Yes	×	No
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?	具	Yes		No
(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?	政	Yes		No
(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:				
Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:  Nebraska Court of Appeals  Nebraska Supres	me	Court		
Docket or case number (if you know):  S-22-0257  Date of the court's decision:  June 29,2022 Denied)  Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	Г	<u>eni</u> ed		
(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did n	ot ra	aise this	issue:	
Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative	e rei	medies, e	etc.) tl	nat you :
have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Two				

### GROUND THREE:

(e)

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):

AO 241 Rev. 10/0					Page 1	0
(b) If y	ou did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three, explain why?					
(c)	Direct Appeal of Ground Three:	_		_		
	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?		Yes		No	
	(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:					
(d)	Post-Conviction Proceedings:					
	(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas c	огри	s in a sta	te tria	il court?	
	☐ Yes ☐ No					
	(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:					
	Type of motion or petition:					
	Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:					
	Docket or case number (if you know):					
	Date of the court's decision:					
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):					
				14		
	(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition?		Yes		No	
	(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition?		Yes		No	
	(5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?		Yes		No	
	(6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state:					
	Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:					
	Docket or case number (if you know):					
	Date of the court's decision:					
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):					

AO 24 (Rev. 10/		age 11
	(7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this issue:	
(e)	Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that you	1
	have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Three:	
GROU	UND FOUR:	
(a) Sur	pporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):	
(//	proving and (2 o not a gar of one family).	
(b) If y	ou did not exhaust your state remedies on Ground Four, explain why:	
(c)	Direct Appeal of Ground Four:	
(0)	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?   Yes  No	
	(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:	
(d)	Post-Conviction Proceedings:	
	(1) Did you raise this issue through a post-conviction motion or petition for habeas corpus in a state trial court?	
	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	(2) If your answer to Question (d)(1) is "Yes," state:	
	Type of motion or petition:	

(e)

Filed: 12/23/22

**№**AO 241 Page 12 (Rev. 10/07) Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision: Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): (3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion or petition? ☐ No (4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion or petition? Yes ☐ No (5) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal? ☐ No ☐ Yes (6) If your answer to Question (d)(4) is "Yes," state: Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed: Docket or case number (if you know): Date of the court's decision: Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): (7) If your answer to Question (d)(4) or Question (d)(5) is "No," explain why you did not raise this issue: Other Remedies: Describe any other procedures (such as habeas corpus, administrative remedies, etc.) that you have used to exhaust your state remedies on Ground Four:

●AO 241 (Rev. 10/0	7)											Page 13
13.	Please	answer these additional	quest	ions abo	out the	e petition y	ou are fil	ing:				
	(a)	Have all grounds for r	elief t	hat you	have	raised in t	his petitio	n been pre	sented to t	he highest :	state cou	rt
		having jurisdiction?	M	Yes		No						
		If your answer is "No,	" state	e which	groui	nds have n	ot been so	presented	and give y	our reason	(s) for no	ot
		presenting them:										
	(b)	Is there any ground in	this p	etition 1	hat h	as not beer	n presente	d in some	state or fed	eral court?	If so,	2
		ground or grounds hav	e not	been pr	esent	ed, and sta	te your rea	asons for r	ot presenti	ng them:		
14.	Have yo	ou previously filed any t	уре о	f petitio	n, ap	plication, o	or motion	in a federa	l court rega	arding the c	onvictio	n
	that you	challenge in this petition	on?	٥	Yes	s 🗷 1	No					
	If "Yes,	" state the name and loo	cation	of the	court,	the docke	t or case n	umber, the	type of pr	oceeding, t	he issues	;
*	raised, t	he date of the court's de	cision	n, and th	ie resi	ult for each	n petition,	applicatio	n, or motio	n filed. At	tach a co	ру
	of any c	ourt opinion or order, if	avail	able.								
		-										
15.	Do you l	have any petition or app	eal no	ow pend	ling (	filed and n	ot decided	l yet) in an	y court, eit	her state or	federal,	for
	the judge	ment you are challengin	g?		Yes		٧o					
	If "Yes,"	state the name and loca	ation	of the c	ourt, 1	the docket	or case nu	ımber, the	type of pro	ceeding, an	d the	
	raised.		2									

◆AO 241 Page 14 (Rev. 10/07) Give the name and address, if you know, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the 16. judgment you are challenging: (a) At preliminary hearing: Douglas County Public Defender Att. Cindy Tate Att. Thomas C. Riley Ho5 Civic Center Omaha, Nebraska 68183 (b) At arraignment and plea: Douglas County Public Defender Att. Cindy Tate Ho5 Civic Center Omaha, Nebraska 68183 Att. Thomas C. Riley (c) At trial: (d) At sentencing: Douglas County Public Defender Att. Cindy Tate Ho5 Civic Center Omaha, Nebraska 68183 (e) On appeal: Jerry M. Hug Attorney at law 209 South 19th Street Omaha, Nebraska 68102 Suite 323 (f) In any post-conviction proceeding: Att. Jerry M. Hug Attorney at law 209 South 19th. Street Suite 323 Omaha, Nebraska 68102 (g) On appeal from any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceeding: Att. Jerry M. Hug 209 South 19th. Street Attorney at Law Suite 323 Omaha, Nebraska 68102 17. Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence for the judgment that you are challenging? ☐ Yes (a) If so, give name and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future: (b) Give the date the other sentence was imposed: (c) Give the length of the other sentence: (d) Have you filed, or do you plan to file, any petition that challenges the judgment or sentence to be served in the M No ☐ Yes future? 18. TIMELINESS OF PETITION: If your judgment of conviction became final over one year ago, you must explain

the one-year statute of limitations as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) does not bar your petition.\*

United States District Court For The District Of Nebraska

JOHN W. DALTON JR.

P.O.BOX 900

TECUMSEH, NEBRASKA 68450

DATED 12-16-22

## APPLICATION OF EQUITABLE TOLLING

Honorable Judge before you get into my ground for relief. I am here to asking and asserting that you applied equitable tolling to my habeas corpus as if my computation is right i think i am 90 days pass my one— year limitations for my habeas corpus application to be considered timely. Equitable tolling should be applied to my habeas corpus application due to the following reasons:

Honorable Judge from December of 2020 through March of 2022 I was subjected to quarantine due to other inmates and staff and myself tested covid-19 positive. During this time period everyone in my gallery including me were confined to our gallery only and were not permitted access to any library materials or a libruary for that matter. We had no access to any research materials or legal aid assistance at all. We were short of staff because of people quitting and covid-19 was so bad. I sincerly hope you except my letter and grant me timely and not barred in my case so my Habeas Corpus case can be heard and i need legal counsel appointed to help me on this case.

Page 15

- (1) A one-year period of limitation shall apply to an application for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court. The limitation period shall run from the latest of -
  - (A) the date on which the judgment became final by the conclusion of direct review or the expiration of the time for seeking such review;
  - (B) the date on which the impediment to filing an application created by State action in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States is removed, if the applicant was prevented from filing by such state action;
  - (C) the date on which the constitutional right asserted was initially recognized by the Supreme Court, if the right has been newly recognized by the Supreme Court and made retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review; or
  - (D) the date on which the factual predicate of the claim or claims presented could have been discovered through the exercise of due diligence.

<sup>\*</sup> The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA") as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) provides in part that:

Page 16

(2) The time during which a properly filed application for State post-conviction or other collateral review with respect to the pertinent judgment or claim is pending shall not be counted toward any period of limitation under this subsection.

Therefore, petitioner asks that the Court grant the following relief:

Substain my application for appointment of counsel, grant an evidentiary hearing and reverse and remand for a new trial.

or any other relief to which petitioner may be entitled.

Signature of Attorney (if any)

I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus was placed in the prison mailing system on 12-16-22 (month, date, year).

Executed (signed) on 12 - 16 - 22 (date).

Signature of Petitioner

Pro Se

If the person signing is not petitioner, state relationship to petitioner and explain why petitioner is not signing this petition.

Filed: 12/23/22

AO 241 (Rev. 06/13) Page 1

# Petition for Relief From a Conviction or Sentence By a Person in State Custody

(Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for a Writ of Habeas Corpus)

#### Instructions

- 1. To use this form, you must be a person who is currently serving a sentence under a judgment against you in a state court. You are asking for relief from the conviction or the sentence. This form is your petition for relief.
- 2. You may also use this form to challenge a state judgment that imposed a sentence to be served in the future, but you must fill in the name of the state where the judgment was entered. If you want to challenge a federal judgment that imposed a sentence to be served in the future, you should file a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 in the federal court that entered the judgment.
- 3. Make sure the form is typed or neatly written.
- You must tell the truth and sign the form. If you make a false statement of a material fact, you may be prosecuted for perjury.
- 5. Answer all the questions. You do not need to cite law. You may submit additional pages if necessary. If you do not fill out the form properly, you will be asked to submit additional or correct information. If you want to submit a brief or arguments, you must submit them in a separate memorandum.
- 6. You must pay a fee of \$5. If the fee is paid, your petition will be filed. If you cannot pay the fee, you may ask to proceed in forma pauperis (as a poor person). To do that, you must fill out the last page of this form. Also, you must submit a certificate signed by an officer at the institution where you are confined showing the amount of money that the institution is holding for you. If your account exceeds \$ , you must pay the filing fee.
- 7. In this petition, you may challenge the judgment entered by only one court. If you want to challenge a judgment entered by a different court (either in the same state or in different states), you must file a separate petition.
- 8. When you have completed the form, send the original and copies to the Clerk of the United States District Court at this address:

#### Clerk, United States District Court for Address City, State Zip Code

- 9. <u>CAUTION:</u> You must include in this petition all the grounds for relief from the conviction or sentence that you challenge. And you must state the facts that support each ground. If you fail to set forth all the grounds in this petition, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds at a later date.
- CAPITAL CASES: If you are under a sentence of death, you are entitled to the assistance of counsel and should request the appointment of counsel.

John W. Dalton Jr P.O. Box 900 Tecumseh, Ne. 68450



# RECEIVED

DEC 2 3 2022

CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
LINCOLN

U.S. District Court

CLerks Office Lincoln

Robert V. Denney Federal Bldg. +

U.S. Courthouse

100 Centenniol Mall North #593

Lincoln, NE. 68508

